(f) whether large scale retrenchment of employees is likely to lead to unrest in the country; and

(g) if so, the steps taken or proposed to be taken on war footing to address this serious issue?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI OSCAR FERNANDES): (a) and (b) No exhaustive survey/study has been conducted regarding retrenchment of employees due to economic slowdown. However, a sample study conducted (for the period August-October, 2008) by Department of Commerce for 121 export related companies belonging to several sectors; primarily employment oriented sectors like textiles including garments, leather, engineering, gems and jewellery, handicrafts, food and food processing, minerals and marine products revealed loss of jobs of around 65,500.

(c) to (e) Government is concerned about the impart of economic slowdown in the country and several measures financial and fiscal have been initiated to spur growth and overcome the crisis. Some of the important steps relate to RBI measures to enhance liquidity in the market and an economic package by the Government of India, mainly comprising additional spending, interest subvention and excise duty cuts. These measures will prevent large scale job losses.

(f) and (g) Do not arise.

**Implementation of Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986**

1815. DR. GYAN PRAKASH PILANIA:
SHRI LALIT KISHORE CHATURVEDI:

Will the Minister of LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether out of 35 States/UTs, 21 did not conduct any inspections during 2006-07, to detect violation of the Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986;

(b) whether percentage of prosecution and conviction remained very low;

(c) how many have been punished with maximum one year imprisonment;

(d) what are statistics for 2005-06, 2006-07 and 2007-08; and

(e) whether the above statistics show a casual/shoddy implementation of above Act?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI OSCAR FERNANDES): (a) to (e) As per section 2 (i), the Central Government is the appropriate Government in respect of an establishment under the control of the Central Government or a railway administration or a major port or a mine or oilfield and in all other cases, the State Government is the appropriate Government. As per information received from the State Governments, till date, statements showing number of inspections, violations detected, prosecutions launched and convictions obtained during 2005-06, 2006-07 and 2007-08 are enclosed.

***Statement***

*Number of Inspections, Violation detected, Prosecution launched and Conviction obtained during* 2005-06, 2006-07 *and* 2007-08 *respectively*

(i)

| Sl. No. | Name of State/UT | Inspections conducted | | | Violations detected | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1. | Andaman and Nicobar Islands U.T. | 330 | 191 | | 0 | 0 | |
| 2. | Andhra Pradesh | 11220 | 53843 | | 8099 | 53843 | |
| 3. | Arunachal Pradesh | 199 | | | 13 | | |
| 4. | Assam | 3506 | 1410 | | 1 | 0 | |
| 5. | Bihar | 19984 | 20542 | | 3488 | 2514 | |
| 6. | Chandigarh U.T. | 994 | 1454 | | 0 | 0 | |
| 7. | Chhattisgarh | 1217 | 3648 | | 10 | 19 | |
| 8. | Dadra and Nagar Haveli UT | 71 | 69 | | 0 | 0 | |
| 9. | Daman and Diu U.T. | 365 | 150 | | 0 | 0 | |
| 10. | Delhi U.T. | 1020 | 1446 | 2587 | 273 | 313 | 338 |
| 11. | Goa | 387 | | | 0 | | |
| 12. | Gujarat | 1624 | 3636 | | 1217 | 149 | |
| 13. | Haryana | 1136 | 1956 | | 0 | 0 | |
| 14. | Himachal Pradesh | 2072 | 2287 | | 0 | 0 | |
| 15. | Jammu and Kashmir | 1481 | 4378 | | 1 | 64 | |
| 16. | Jharkhand | 2635 | 1704 | | 82 | 67 | |
| 17. | Karnataka | 27601 | 39658 | | 2405 | 3962 | |
| 18. | Kerala | 5874 | 6073 | | 20 | 23 | |
| 19. | Lakshadweep U.T. | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| 20. | Madhya Pradesh | 5360 | 5317 | | 37 | 150 | |
| 21. | Maharashtra | 24965 | 27351 | 3171 | 117 | 399 | 67 |
| 22. | Manipur | 241 | | | 0 | | |
| 23. | Meghalaya | 299 | 425 | | 0 | 0 | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 24. | Mizoram | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| 25. | Nagaland | 0 | 5871 | | 0 | 0 | |
| 26. | Orissa | 153 | 1508 | | 120 | 240 | |
| 27. | Pondicherry U.T. | 15291 | 16590 | | 0 | 0 | |
| 28. | Punjab | 5737 | 9432 | | 23 | 172 | |
| 29. | Rajasthan | 3350 | 6090 | | 0 | 19 | |
| 30. | Sikkim | 32 | 40 | | 0 | 0 | |
| 31. | Tamil Nadu | 121166 | 220667 | | 1434 | 636 | |
| 32. | Tripura | 898 | 157 | | 0 | 0 | |
| 33. | Uttar Pradesh | 1926 | 3807 | | 246 | 2513 | |
| 34. | Uttarakhand | 2178 | 2101 | | 0 | 2 | |
| 35. | West Bengal | 3722 | 3821 | | 36 | 112 | |

**(ii)**

| Sl. No. | Name of State/UT | Prosecutions launched | | | Convictions obtained | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1 | Andaman and Nicobar Islands U.T. | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 2 | Andhra Pradesh | 6124 | 9228 | | 620 | | |
| 3 | Arunachal Pradesh | 11 | | | | | |
| 4 | Assam | 1 | 0 | | | | |
| 5 | Bihar | 147 | 284 | | | | |
| 6 | Chandigarh U.T. | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 7 | Chhattisgarh | 10 | 19 | | | | |
| 8 | Dadra and Nagar Haveli U.T. | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 9 | Daman and Diu U.T. | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 10 | Delhi U.T. | 253 | 187 | 274 | 10 | 29 | 08 |
| 11 | Goa | 0 | | | | | |
| 12 | Gujarat | 23 | 270 | | 02 | 02 | 270 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 13. | Haryana | 0 | 0 | | 02 | | |
| 14. | Himachal Pradesh | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 15. | Jammu and Kashmir | 17 | 60 | | 03 | 01 | |
| 16. | Jharkhand | 27 | 4 | | | | |
| 17. | Karnataka | 1078 | 3235 | | 139 | 170 | |
| 18. | Kerala | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| 19. | Lakshadweep U.T. | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 20. | Madhya Pradesh | 37 | 150 | | 05 | 05 | |
| 21. | Maharashtra | 84 | 54 | 23 | 12 | 07 | |
| 22. | Manipur | 0 | | | | | |
| 23. | Meghalaya | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 24. | Mizoram | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 25. | Nagaland | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 26. | Orissa | 1 | 24 | | 01 | | |
| 27. | Pondicherry U.T. | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 28. | Punjab | 20 | 129 | | 17 | 23 | |
| 29. | Rajasthan | 13 | 22 | | 06 | 26 | |
| 30. | Sikkim | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 31. | Tamil Nadu | 415 | 603 | | 80 | 434 | |
| 32. | Tripura | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 33. | Uttar Pradesh | 19 | 117 | | 40 | 19 | |
| 34. | Uttarakhand | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 35. | West Bengal | 0 | 7 | | | | |

**Demonstration by Bal Mazdoor Union**

1816. SHRIMATI HEMA MALINI : Will the Minister of LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that recently Bal Mazdoor Union staged a demonstration at Jantar Mantar, Delhi for alleviating their plight;

(b) whether Government is aware about the corruption among Non-Government Organisations who are amassing money in the name of working for problems of child labourers; and